

U.S. Department of Transportation

400 Seventh Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20590

Pipeline and
Hazardous Materials Safety
Administration

FEB - 2 2006

Mr. Donald Stiger
3683 SW 30th Drive

Ref. No.: 05-0001

Gresham, Oregon 97080

Dear Mr. Stiger:

the continental United States in accordance with the Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMR; 49 CFR Parts 171-180). This responds to your letter concerning the transportation of combustible liquids to Hawaii from

of command in this situation is designed to ensure that inspectors operating in a particular mode of transport receive consistent guidance at approximately the same time. they can be forwarded via the chain of command to PHMSA for consideration. Use of the chain recommend that you provide your questions concerning the HMR to your FAA supervisor so restrictions, and guidance issued by FAA beyond any guidance PHMSA may provide you. We your official oversight role as an air-mode government inspector, you may be subject to policies, (PHMSA), they are enforced separately by each Operating Administration (including FAA). In (HMR) are promulgated by the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration hazardous materials inspector employed by the FAA. While the hazardous materials regulations response with the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), we have learned that you are a Your questions are in relation to the transport of hazardous materials by air. In coordinating our

Thank you for your interest in hazardous materials transportation safety.

Sincerely

John A. Gale

Chief, Standards Development
Office of Hazardone Materials St.

Office of Hazardous Materials Standards



173.150(F)

Thursday, December 23, 2004

Mr. Edward T. Mazzullo
Director, Office of Hazardous Materials Standards
U.S. DOT/RSPA (DHM-10)
400 7th Street S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20590-0001

Exception 65-0001

Dear Mr. Mazzullo:

November 17, 2004 (Ref. No.: 04-0212). information your office provided to Mr. Jim Powell in a Letter of Interpretation dated liquids to Hawaii from the continental United States. This request is generated from I am requesting a Letter of Interpretation concerning the transportation of combustible

Given the following transportation scenario:

degrees Fahrenheit, that is not a hazardous substance, hazardous waste, or marine recipient in Waikoloa, Hawaii. The shipment takes the following route: pollutant, is offered for air transportation from a shipper in Denver, Colorado, to a A box of 1-liter bottles (non-bulk package) containing a liquid with a flash point of 105

- truck, to the Denver, Colorado, airport. material utilizing the provision in 49 CFR, 173.150(f) and transports it, via (IATA) member, picks-up a shipment that is not declared as a hazardous An Integrator, who is a not an International Air Transport Association
- 2) flown to Portland, Oregon. The shipment is sorted and placed on the Integrator's all-cargo aircraft and
- \Im Oregon, and loaded on a passenger-carrying aircraft (who is an IATA The shipment is sorted and consolidated with other freight in Portland, member) for air transport from Portland, Oregon, to Honolulu, Hawaii.
- 4 carrying aircraft (another IATA member) for air transport to Kona, Hawaii. The shipment is sorted in Honolulu, Hawaii, and placed on a passenger-
- 5) final delivery in Waikoloa, Hawaii. The shipment arrives in Kona, Hawaii, is sorted and placed on a truck for

Please answer the following questions:

- A) Is the material, as described above, regulated under the federal Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMR)?
- \mathbb{B} material regulated? If the material is regulated, at what leg of the transportation chain is the
- 9 Are any of the carriers (ground or air) required to report to DOT, under 49 during transit? CFR, 171.16, if the shipment, as described above, is discovered leaking
- D) If your answer is yes to C, which carrier(s) in the transport chain would be responsible to report the shipment to DOT?
- E Would an air carrier, who only accepts hazardous materials utilizing the transit to the Federal Aviation Administration per 49 CFR, 175.31? to report the shipment, as described above, if it was discovered during Transport of Dangerous Goods (ICAO Technical Instructions), be required International Civil Aviation Organization's for Technical Instructions for the
- \mathbf{F} If you replaced Waikoloa, Hawaii, with remote Alaska village, Kona, in the scenario above; what would be your answers be to A, B, C, D, and E? Hawaii, with Nome Alaska, and Honolulu, Hawaii, with Anchorage, Alaska,

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Respectfully yours,

3683 SW 30th Drive Donald Stiger Gresham, Oregon 97080

e-mail: dstigerman@aol.com Phone: 503-661-6024